arXiv:hep-ph/9809590v1 30 Sep 1998

SCALAR-MEDIATED FLAVOR-CHANGING NEUTRAL CURRENTS

MARC SHER

Physics Dept., College of William and Mary, Williamsburg VA 23187 USA
E-mail: sher@physics.wm.edu

The simplest extension of the standard model involves adding a scalar doublet–the so-called two-Higgs model. In general, the additional scalar will mediate tree-level flavor-changing neutral currents. Although one can arbitrarily impose a discrete symmetry to avoid these, it isn't necessary to do so; reasonable assumptions about the size of the flavor-changing couplings can make them sufficiently small as to avoid problems in the kaon sector. However, these same assumptions give much larger effects in the third-family case. We discuss the model, the "reasonable assumptions" on the size of the couplings, and examine phenomenological bounds on the couplings, showing that the most promising signatures are from $B_s \to \mu\tau$, $\mu \to e\gamma$, etc. We then include the newest result which shows potentially significant effects on the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon.

One of the simplest, and most-often studied, extensions of the standard model is the "two-Higgs doublet" model, in which an additional scalar doublet is included. A potentially serious problem with such models is the existence of tree-level flavor-changing neutral currents. Although one can eliminate such currents with a discrete symmetry, such a symmetry is ad hoc, and may have cosmological problems. In this talk, I'll point out that the problem of flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNC) may not be as serious as often believed, and that reasonable assumptions about the structure of Yukawa coupling matrices allow sufficient suppression of FCNC couplings between the first two generations, while simultaneously predicting much bigger effects in coupling the second and third generations. The phenomenological bounds will be reviewed and the most promising decays examined. Finally, a new bound, from the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, will be discussed.

It is easy to see why FCNC do not appear in the standard model. The Yukawa interactions in the standard model are of the form (focusing on the neutral fields only)

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = -\frac{h_{ij}}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{\psi}_i\psi_j\phi \tag{1}$$

After spontaneous symmetry breaking, this gives a mass matrix

$$M_{ij} = \frac{h_{ij}}{\sqrt{2}}\langle\phi\rangle \tag{2}$$

Clearly, when the mass matrix is diagonalized, the Yukawa coupling matrix will also be diagonalized, and thus there will be no neutral flavor-changing couplings involving the Higgs boson.

In the two doublet model, this is not the case. The Yukawa interactions are of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = -\frac{f_{ij}}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{\psi}_i\psi_j\phi_1 - \frac{g_{ij}}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{\psi}_i\psi_j\phi_2 \tag{3}$$

which gives a mass matrix

$$M_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\sqrt{2}}\langle\phi_1\rangle + \frac{g_{ij}}{\sqrt{2}}\langle\phi_2\rangle \tag{4}$$

It is clear that diagonalizing M_{ij} will **not** generally diagonalize f_{ij} and g_{ij}, leading automatically to flavor-changing neutral couplings. These models will thus have a tree-level contribution to, for example, $K^o - \overline{K}^o$ mixing.

There are three solutions to this problem that have been discussed. The first two make use of the Glashow-Weinberg theorem[1], which states that neutral flavor-changing couplings will be absent if all of the quarks of a given charge couple only to a single Higgs doublet. In Model I, one imposes a discrete symmetry to couple only one doublet to the fermions. In Model II, one imposes a discrete symmetry to couple the $Q = 2/3$ quarks to one doublet and the $Q = -1/3$ quarks to the other (in supersymmtry, this discrete symmetry arises automatically). These both eliminate tree-level FCNC. However, they are *ad hoc*, with no justification other than eliminating FCNC, and discrete symmetries may have problems with cosmological domain walls. The third option, Model III, will be the focus of this talk. In this model, no discrete symmtries are introduced, and one looks at the phenomenological constraints on the flavor changing couplings.

To define the flavor-changing couplings, one first chooses a basis so that $\langle\phi_2\rangle = 0$. In that case, $M_{ij} = f_{ij}\langle\phi_1\rangle/\sqrt{2}$, and then diagonalization of M_{ij} will automatically diagonalize the couplings of ϕ_1. The couplings of ϕ_2 remain arbitrary:

$$\begin{aligned}&\xi^U_{ij}\overline{Q}_{i,L}\tilde{\Phi}_2 U_{j,R} + \text{h.c.}\\ &\xi^D_{ij}\overline{Q}_{i,L}\Phi_2 D_{j,R} + \text{h.c.}\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

with a similar term for leptons. Note that the choice of basis will not, in general, diagonalize the Higgs mass matrices, and thus one should replace Φ_2 with each mass eigenstate times an appropriate mixing angle. Since the

lightest mass eigenstate will dominate in the phenomenological effects, and since the couplings are arbitrary, this angle will be ignored.

The biggest danger from the above couplings is the contribution to $K^o - \overline{K}^o$ mixing, due to tree-level ϕ_2 exchange. Prior to 1987, it was claimed that the observed value of the mixing would be inconsistent with the presence of this effect unless the mass of the ϕ_2 was greater than 100 TeV. Since that is far beyond the electroweak scale, Model III was excluded.

However, as pointed out by Cheng and Sher[2], this result was based on the assumption that ξ^D_{ds} was of the order of the gauge coupling, which is certainly not reasonable for a Yukawa coupling involving the lightest generations. They noted that in a wide variety of models, if one assumes that there is no fine-tuning (in which several large numbers add or subtract to form a small number), then the flavor-changing couplings will be of the order of the geometric mean of the Yukawa couplings of the two generations. Thus, writing

$$\xi_{ij} = \lambda_{ij} \frac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{\langle \phi_2 \rangle} \tag{6}$$

they argued that the most natural value of the λ_{ij} is unity. This ansatz then strongly suppresses the value of ξ_{ds}, weakening the lower bound on ϕ_2 into the hundreds of GeV range.

Since we do not yet have a theory of flavor, it would be premature to make any firm assumptions about the magnitude of the λ_{ij}, rather, one should look for experimental signatures and bounds on these parameters, keeping in mind that in a variety of models, their value should be approximately of order one.

The most extensive discussion of the bounds on the λ_{ij} was given in a recent paper by Atwood, Reina and Soni[3]; most of these results are discussed in more detail there. The first process to examine is $F - \overline{F}$ mixing, where $F = (K, D, B)$. For a pseudoscalar mass of 500 GeV (the pseudoscalar gives the strongest bounds, since the matrix element is largest), the bounds are $\lambda_{ds} < 0.2$, $\lambda_{db} < 0.25$ and $\lambda_{uc} < 0.6$. One can see that the bounds involving the first generation are *very* stringent.

What about processes involving the third generation? Atwood, Reina and Soni show that the most important are $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ and $B \to X_s\mu^+\mu^-$. The former gives a bound $\lambda_{sb}\lambda_{\mu\mu} < 9$ and the latter gives a bound $\lambda_{sb}\lambda_{\mu\mu} < 4$. The former bound depends on the pseudoscalar mass, and the latter on the scalar mass (a value of 200 GeV was chosen). Thus, these processes are getting into the interesting region. It was shown by Aliev, et al.[4] that the bound from $B \to X_s\gamma$ is not useful unless λ_{bb} is very large.

It should be pointed out that the above ansatz for the couplings indicate that the $tc\phi_2$ coupling could be very large. In papers by Bar-Shalom,et al.[5], the processes $e^+e^- \to \overline{t}c\nu_e\overline{\nu}_e$ and $\mu^+\mu^- \to \overline{t}c$ are examined; Han, et al.[6] examined the processes $t \to (g, Z, \gamma)$, and Hou[7] looked at the unusual process $e^+e^- \to tt\overline{cc}$. All of these processes, of course, require substantial top quark production.

In all of the above analyses, it was assumed that the flavor-changing couplings occurred in the quark sector. There is no reason, of course, why they should not also occur in the lepton sector. As pointed out by Sher and Yuan[8], standard unification arguments should give relationships between, say, λ_{sb} and $\lambda_{\mu\tau}$. They examined these relationships, and found bounds on some rather unusual (and therefore experimentally distinct) processes.

For example, from $B_s \to \mu\tau$ and $B \to K\mu\tau$, they found that $\sqrt{\lambda_{sb}\lambda_{\mu\tau}} < 10$; and from $B_s \to \tau\tau$ and $B \to K\tau\tau$, $\sqrt{\lambda_{sb}\lambda_{\tau\tau}} < 30$. Although these bounds are somewhat weaker than those involving just muons, they (especially $B_s \to \mu\tau$) are very experimentally distinct, and can thus be significantly improved at a B-factory. In fact, the current bound on $B_s \to \mu\tau$ was guessed from a published bound on $B \to \mu\mu X$; as yet there are **no published bounds** on the **most** distinct and interesting process!

In the purely leptonic sector, the strongest bound[8] comes from $\mu \to e\gamma$, with a τ intermediate state. This gives $\sqrt{\lambda_{\mu\tau}\lambda_{e\tau}} < 5$. They did not calculate the contribute to muon-electron conversion off a nucleus, which could give stronger bounds. Processes involving rare τ decays give extremely weak bounds.

Note that all of the bounds above depend on the product of two different λ's, with the exception of $F - \overline{F}$ mixing (which bounds λ's which have a first generation index). Since one might expect the biggest contribution to come from coupling between the second and third generations $(\lambda_{sb}, \lambda_{\mu\tau}, \lambda_{ct})$, it is desirable to look for processes which only depend on a single coupling. Such a process was recently discussed by Nie and Sher[9]. They noted that one can look at $g - 2$ for the muon. If one looks at the vertex correction, where the muons exchange a scalar and turn into τ's, then this contribution will only depend on $\lambda_{\mu\tau}$. Using current bounds, they find that $\lambda_{\mu\tau} < 50$; but this will be improved by a factor of 5 in an upcoming experiment. One might think that $\lambda_{\mu\tau} < 10$ is not an impressive bound, but recall that the above ansatz is just a guess, and that the actual bound on $\xi_{\mu\tau} \equiv \lambda_{\mu\tau}(\sqrt{m_\mu m_\tau}/v)$ would be $\xi_{\mu\tau} < \frac{1}{40}$, which is certainly in the interesting range.

In summary, one of the simplest extensions of the standard model is the addition of a scalar doublet. In general, this yields tree-level FCNC. Defining couplings as $\xi_{ij} \equiv \lambda_{ij}(\sqrt{m_i m_j}/v)$, theorists would generally expect λ_{ij} to be of order 1, however in view of our lack

of understanding of flavor physics, one should look at the phenomenological bounds on these FCNC processes. Bounds from $F-\overline{F}$ mixing show that couplings involving the first generation are quite small. Couplings involving the second and third generations can be much larger. The strongest and most intriguing bound (because it has no one-loop counterpart in the standard model) is from $B_s \to \mu\tau$, which is not experimentally bounded in the literature. This bound, and others, depends on the product of two λ's. A recent analysis of the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon showed that it is only sensitive to $\lambda_{\mu\tau}$, and not to a product of two couplings.

References

1. S.L. Glashow and S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* D **15**, 1958 (1977).
2. , T.P. Cheng and M. Sher, *Phys. Rev.* D **35**, 3484 (1987).
3. D. Atwood, L. Reina and A. Soni, *Phys. Rev.* D **55**, 3156 (1977).
4. T.M. Aliev and E.O. Iltan, hep-ph/9803272.
5. S. Bar-Shalom, G. Eilam and A. Soni, *Phys. Rev.* D **57**, 2957 (1998); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1217 (1997).
6. T. Han, K. Whisnant, B.L. Young and X. Zhang, *Phys. Lett.* B **385**, 311 (1996).
7. W.-S. Hou, G.-L. Lin and C.-Y. Ma, *Phys. Rev.* D **56**, 7434 (1997).
8. M. Sher and Y. Yuan, *Phys. Rev.* D **44**, 1461 (1991).
9. S. Nie and M. Sher, *Phys. Rev.* D **58**, 11xxxx (1998).